

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/723,844	10/723,844 11/26/2003		Roy Kuipers	TEPS 30/ DDM03-027	9287	
30137	7590	09/05/2006		EXAMINER		
		DONALD D. MON	KEENAN, JAMES W			
3060 Bonsai Drive Plano, TX 75093				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
, · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				3652		
				DATE MAILED: 09/05/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/723,844	KUIPERS ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
James Keenan	3652		

		cames recitan		000Z	
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet	with the c	orrespondence addi	ress
THE	REPLY FILED 25 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	PPLICATION IN CONDIT	ION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1. 🛚	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amend tice of Appeal (with appe	dment, aff	fidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or (3)
a)	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	g date of the final rejection.			
b)	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from	the mailin	g date of the final rejection	on.
	TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).			
have under set fo may r	usions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exergiver 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the surth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) ICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding shortened statutory period for than three months after the	ng amount or reply orig	of the fee. The appropri	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as
	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37	7 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
	filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41	1.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	e appeal. Since
	NDMENTS	had a dan ka kha daka a £ £10.			
3. 🔼	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search			ecause
	(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in be		_4	d	h. : <i>f</i>
	appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by ma	aterially re	aucing or simplifying t	ne issues for
	(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of	f finally rei	ected claims.	
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	· -	, ,		
4. 🗀	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)				
6. 🗀			separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the
	non-allowable claim(s).		•	·	•
7. 🖂	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:			ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
	Claim(s) objected to:				
	Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-19</u> .				
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:				
	DAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE				
8. □	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).				
9. 🗌	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections un	nder appe	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
	☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	•		, , ,	•
	UEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER				
	☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu		•	n condition for allowar	ce because:
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s))	A	
13. 🏻	Other: Replacement drawings are approved.			James Keenan Primary Examiner	e
				Art Unit: 3652	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Continuation of 3. NOTE: Although applicant's amendments appear to overcome the rejection of record, because of the newly added limitations, there is no way to determine whether they are allowable over all prior art without further considration and/or searching.